

Date ratified  
by Full Board  
**25 March 2024**



Review  
Audit, Risk and  
Resources committee

# RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY

## THE TRUST MISSION STATEMENT

*Inspired by the life of Christ we provide an exceptional education in our Catholic schools  
which enables our children:*

- to fully embrace all possibilities
- to flourish
- to develop their faith

*and therefore to choose a path that enables them to be a positive influence upon our world.*

*'Prepare the Way' The Gospel of St Mark 1:3*

**St John the Baptist Catholic Multi Academy Trust**  
**Company No: 7913261**  
**Registered Office: Surrey Street, Norwich NR1 3PB**



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact the Company Secretary on 01603 611431 and we will do our best to help.

# St John the Baptist Catholic Multi Academy Trust

## Risk Management Policy

### Contents

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Context .....	3
3.	Risk appetite .....	4
4.	Classification of risks .....	4
5.	Categories of Risk .....	5
6.	Strategic Approach .....	5
7.	Risk Assessment and Categorisation .....	6
8.	Impact of Risk .....	7
9.	Likelihood of Risk.....	11
10.	Risk heat map .....	12
11.	Risk Management.....	12
12.	Monitoring and assessment .....	13

## 1. Introduction

This Risk Management Policy forms part of the Trust's internal controls and governance arrangements. The policy explains the Trust's underlying approach to risk management.

Identifying and managing the possible and probable risks that an organisation may face over its working life is a key part of effective governance for Multi Academy Trusts of all sizes and complexity. By managing risk effectively, Directors (Trustees) can help ensure that:

- significant risks are known and monitored, enabling Directors and governors to make informed decisions and take timely action;
- the Trust makes the most of opportunities and develops them with the confidence that any risks will be managed;
- forward and strategic planning are improved;
- the Trust's aims are achieved more successfully.

Reporting in its annual report on the steps the Trust has taken to manage risk helps to demonstrate accountability to stakeholders including beneficiaries, funders, employees and the general public. The Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) also has a requirement for a Multi Academy Trust to exercise robust risk management.

The responsibility for the management and control of St John the Baptist Catholic Multi Academy Trust (SJB CMAT) rests with the Trust Board and the Chief Executive Officer and therefore their involvement in the key aspects of the risk management process is essential, particularly in setting the parameters of the process and reviewing and considering the results.

## 2. Context

Organisations will face some level of risk in most of the things they do. In simple terms, risk is 'the possibility of something bad happening'. ISO13000:2018 defines risk as 'the effect of uncertainty on objectives'.

Risk involves uncertainty about the effects / implications of an activity with respect to something that we value often focusing on negative, undesirable consequences.

Risk management is a process that allows individual risk events and overall risk to be understood and managed proactively, optimising success by minimising threats and maximising opportunities and outcomes.

The diverse nature of the education sector means that St John the Baptist Catholic Multi Academy Trust faces different types of risk and levels of exposure. An essential question for MATs when considering risk is whether they can continue to fulfil their objects both now and into the future, sustainably.

For example, in a period of economic uncertainty, the major financial risks for any Multi Academy Trusts are likely to be:

- Changes to ESFA funding;

- Changes to the Local Authority commissioning arrangements for children with special educational needs;
- Changes to terms and conditions of employees as part of national or local pay settlements;
- Increased liability costs on employers e.g. increased NI or pension costs;
- General unpredictability of economic environment due to the pandemic.

Generally, risk will need to be considered in terms of the wider environment in which the Trust operates. The financial climate, society and its attitudes, the natural environment and changes in the law and Government policy, technology and knowledge will all affect the types and impact of the risks that the Trust is exposed to.

Although the risks that any Trust might face are both financial and non-financial, the ultimate impact of risk is financial in most cases. This could be where a party seeks compensation for loss, or costs incurred in managing, avoiding or transferring the risk, for example by buying employers' liability insurance or buildings insurance.

As a public body and as a faith-based multi academy Trust we acknowledge that our activities may attract a close public scrutiny. Failing to adhere to the highest standards in regularity and propriety could result in higher reputational risk.

### **3. Risk appetite**

'Risk appetite' expresses how much risk an organisation is prepared to take. It can vary over time and across work areas. If the Trust's risk appetite is clearly articulated, staff can take this into account when making decisions. The Directors and the Executive should therefore, when considering risk, discuss and express their level of risk appetite.

The risk register steers risk owners into considering risk appetite when updating a risk entry. They need to consider not only the risk status before and after existing mitigating action but also the final tolerable risk status, i.e. what they are aiming for in terms of status for that particular risk.

### **4. Classification of risks**

A system of classification is helpful for ensuring key areas of risk arising from both internal and external factors are considered / identified.

All risks are linked to one or several Trust's Strategic aims:

- To enhance the distinctive Catholic nature of the schools and the Trust
- To ensure every child and young person receives the very best education so that they are empowered to develop, learn and achieve
- To develop and embed sustainable, high quality and robust leadership across the Trust and its schools
- To strengthen engagement between our schools and our communities
- To achieve excellence in facilities, staffing, professional development and resourcing

## 5. Categories of Risk

The Trust moved away from a prescribed categories of risk. Instead, the risks are grouped into a limited number of headings or themes specific to the Trust and each type of risk is supported by a matrix which explores Causes, Consequences, Opportunities, Current controls and Further actions.

Risk Causes – this is why something could go wrong.

Risk Consequences – this is the potential outcome of the event.

Risk Opportunities – this is where potential benefits of accepting the risk are identified.

Current controls – these controls are in place and mitigate the raw risk.

Further Actions – action is identify to reduce the risk to an acceptable level.

## 6. Strategic Approach

Following identification of the risks that a Trust might face, a decision will need to be made about how they can be most effectively managed. The Board of Directors have adopted this risk management policy to help them make decisions about the levels of risk that can be accepted on a day to day basis and what matters need to be referred to them for decision.

There are four basic strategies that can be applied to manage an identified risk:

- **TOLERATE** - accepting or assessing it as a risk that cannot be avoided if the activity is to continue. An example of this might be where the Board take out an insurance policy that carries a higher level of voluntary excess or where the Trust recognises that a core activity carries a risk but take steps to mitigate it - public use of a academy property would be such a risk.
- **TRANSFER** - transferring the financial consequences to third parties or sharing it, usually through insurance or outsourcing
- **TREAT** - management or mitigation of risk
- **TERMINATE** - avoiding the activity giving rise to the risk completely, for example by not brining another academy into the Trust or stopping a particular activity or service

The Board recognises that there is the positive side of risk management, and the Trust will at times be looking for and taking advantage of opportunities. We have therefore added a fifth strategic approach which is to actively

- **TAKE** the risk.

Although there are various tools and checklists available, the identification of risks is best done by involving those with a detailed knowledge of the way the Trust and its constituent academies operate, and therefore Headteachers (and Local Governing Bodies) are pivotal.

The Trust keeps a risk register which is a working document owned by the Trust Board, with delegated responsibilities for ongoing review and oversight passed to the Audit and Risk Committee.

Individual academies within the Trust also keep a risk register which is a working document, owned

by the Local Governing Body.

The risk identification process, whilst focusing on the risk to the Trust itself, is therefore also likely to include identifying risks that may arise in an individual academy as well as Trust-wide activities. These risks will be passed onto the individual academy's risk register.

## **7. Risk Assessment and Categorisation**

Identified risks need to be put into perspective in terms of the potential severity of their impact and likelihood of their occurrence. Assessing and categorising risks helps in prioritising and filtering them, and in establishing whether any further action is required.

One method is to look at each identified risk and decide how likely it is to occur and how severe its impact would be on the Trust if it did occur.

Risks which are likely to have very high impact and very low likelihood of occurrence are now accepted by many as having greater importance than those with a very high likelihood of occurrence and an insignificant impact. In these cases, the concept of impact and the likelihood of risks occurring, and their interaction should be given prominence in both the risk assessment and risk management processes.

If an organisation is vulnerable to a risk that potentially might have an extremely high impact on its operations, it should be considered and evaluated regardless of how remote the likelihood of its happening appears to be.

The Trust needs to find a balance and need to weigh the nature of risk and its impact alongside its likelihood of occurrence. With limited resources, the risks and the benefits or rewards from the activity concerned will need to be considered. It is important to bear in mind that on rare occasions improbable events do occur with devastating effect whilst at other times probable events do not happen.

A focus on high-impact risk is important, but what may be a lower impact risk can change to very high impact risk because of the possible connection between it happening and triggering the occurrence of other risks.

One low impact risk may lead to another and another so that the cumulative impact becomes extreme or catastrophic. Many business failures are the result of a series of small, linked events having too great a cumulative impact to deal with rather than a single large event. If we only look at the big risks, we could end up ill-prepared to face the interaction of separate adverse events interacting together.

Accepting risk can often come with opportunities and these should be considered when assessing and managing risk.

## 8. Impact of Risk

Impact		Description
Extreme/Catasrophic	5	service interrupted for significant time major adverse publicity not avoidable (national media) major litigation expected resignation of senior management and board, loss of stakeholder confidence
Major	4	service disrupted adverse publicity not avoidable (local media) complaint probable litigation probable
Moderate	3	some service disruption potential for adverse publicity - avoidable with careful handling complaint probable litigation probable
Minor	2	slight impact on service slight impact on reputation complaint possible litigation possible
Insignificant	1	no impact on service no impact on reputation complaint unlikely litigation risk remote

### Example Impact of Occurrence

Rating	Risk Area	Explanation/Example
<b>1 - Insignificant</b>	Educational	Small drop in DfE national metrics at one primary or secondary school
	Estates	Injury requiring staff member or pupil to go home or visit walk in centre A single classroom rendered non-operational for a day
	Finance	Cost implications up to £1,000
	Governance	Advisory issue raised or minor aspect of technical non-compliance

	Human Resources	Low level employee relations matter Issue raised by one local union representative
	Information Technology	Frequent interruptions of IT service during the day localised at one site Data breach not requiring reporting to the ICO
	Strategic	The Trust has to make a minor revision to one of its objectives
<b>2 - Minor</b>	Educational	Drop in DfE national metrics across several schools
	Estates	Injury requiring immediate hospitalisation Several classrooms rendered non-operational for several days
	Finance	Cost implications up to £10,000
	Governance	Reportable breach of regularity or statutory compliance but no follow up action taken
	Human Resources	Employee relations matter requiring legal guidance for assurance Formal complaint received by regional union representative
	Information Technology	Loss of IT service for a day Minor data breach requiring reporting to ICO but no action taken
	Strategic	The Trust has to revise some of its strategic objectives or delay achievement target
<b>3 - Moderate</b>	Educational	DfE metrics across several schools categorised as below national average Ofsted rating drop from Good or Outstanding to Requires Improvement
	Estates	Life changing injury Part of a site rendered non-



		operational for less than a week
	Finance	Cost implications up to £100,000
	Governance	Breach of regularity with Regional Director or ESFA
	Human Resources	Complex employee relations case requiring legal advice and potential employment tribunal claim Threats from Unions of industrial action
	Information Technology	Loss of IT service to entire site for a week Data breach and ICO report with local/regional media coverage
	Strategic	Significant alterations to strategic objectives or growth ambitions required
<b>4 - Major</b>	Educational	DfE metrics are categorised as well below national in several schools Ofsted rating of Inadequate received
	Estates	Unavoidable loss of life Entire site rendered non-operational for a week Health & Safety Executive investigation
	Finance	Cost implications up to 5% of Trust annual revenue Financial Notice to Improve received
	Governance	Serious breach of regularity RSC or ESFA and formal censure
	Human Resources	Employment tribunal claim requiring legal representative and potential media coverage Major disruption to workforce at a site through illness or withdrawal of labour for a day
	Information Technology	Loss of IT service to entire site for several weeks

<b>5 - Extreme</b>		Major data breach and ICO fine/investigation with national media coverage
	Strategic	Inability or failure to achieve a strategic objective or deliver key growth ambitions
	Educational	Secondary school placed in Special Measures with "Minded to terminate" letter received
	Estates	Preventable loss of life An entire school site rendered non-operational for more than several weeks Health & Safety Executive investigation and adverse finding reported
	Finance	Cost implications over 5% of Trust annual revenue Financial Notice to Improve received - Trust placed under direct ESFA monitoring
	Governance	Serious regularity or statutory breach resulting in threat to terminate funding agreement
	Human Resources	Employee relations activity resulting in adverse tribunal finding and negative media coverage Industrial action at one or more schools resulting in closure for more than a day
	Information Technology	Trust-wide cybersecurity incident, mass data loss and access to systems for more than several weeks
	Strategic	Inability or failure to achieve most or all strategic objectives

## 9. Likelihood of Risk

Likelihood		Description
Highly Probable	5	Expected to occur frequently and in most circumstances
Probable	4	Expected to occur in many circumstances
Possible	3	Expected to occur in some circumstances
Unlikely	2	Expected to occur in a few circumstances
Remote	1	May only occur in exceptional circumstances

The 'heat map' below works on a scoring of "**x multiplied by y**" where **x is likelihood** and **y is impact**. Risk scoring often involves a degree of judgement or subjectivity. Where data or information on past events or patterns is available, it will be helpful in enabling more evidence-based judgements. In interpreting the risk heat map below, likelihood is x and impact is y. The colour codes are:

**Red** - major or extreme/catastrophic risks that score 15 or more;

**Yellow** - moderate or major risks that score between 8 and 14;

**Green** - minor or insignificant risks scoring 7 or less.

## 10. Risk heat map

Risk Matrix						
Impact						
5	Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25
4	Major	4	8	12	16	20
3	Moderate	3	6	9	12	15
2	Minor	2	4	6	8	10
1	Insignificant	1	2	3	4	5
		Remote	Unlikely	Possible	Probable	Highly Probable
		1	2	3	4	5
		Likelihood				

## 11. Risk Management

Where major risks are identified, the Board (or LGB if it is an academy level risk) will make sure that appropriate action is being taken to manage them, including an assessment of how effective the existing controls are.

For each of the major risks identified, the Board (or LGB if it is an academy level risk) will consider any additional action that needs to be taken to manage the risk, either by lessening the likelihood of the event occurring, or lessening its impact if it does.

Once each risk has been evaluated, the Board (or LGB if it is an academy level risk) will draw up a plan for any steps that need to be taken to address or mitigate significant or major risks. This action

plan and the implementation of appropriate systems or procedures allow the Board (or LGB if it is an academy level risk) to make a risk management statement in accordance with the regulatory requirements.

Risk management is aimed at reducing the 'gross level' of risk (unmitigated risk) identified to a 'net level' of risk (mitigated risk), in other words, the risk that remains after appropriate action is taken.

The Board (or LGB if it is an academy level risk) are required to form a view as to the acceptability of the net risk that remains after management action/controls. In assessing additional action to be taken, the costs of management or control will generally be considered in the context of the potential impact or likely cost that the control seeks to prevent or mitigate.

It is possible that the process may identify areas where the current or proposed control processes are disproportionately costly or onerous compared to the risk that they are there to manage. A balance must be struck between the cost of further action to manage the risk and the potential impact of the residual risk.

Good risk management is also about enabling organisations to take opportunities and to meet urgent need, as well as preventing disasters. For example, an organisation may not be able to take advantage of technological change in the absence of a reserves policy that ensures there are adequate funds.

For each Trust, the board of trustees must appoint a senior executive leader. In SJB CMAT, this role is fulfilled by Chief Executive Officer (CEO) who is also the accounting officer. The board must also appoint a chief financial officer (Director of Finance and Operations in our Trust).

Although the overall responsibility for risk management, including ultimate oversight of the risk register, is retained by the Board of trustees, the CEO, the CFO and wider senior management teams will have an active input to the risk management process. This will include the maintenance of the risk register, including the updates. Responsibility to implement mitigating actions for some of the risks is likely to be assigned to the members of Senior Management Team.

## **12. Monitoring and assessment**

Risk management is a dynamic process ensuring that new risks are addressed as they arise. It should also be cyclical to establish how previously identified risks may have changed.

Risk management is not a one-off event and should instead be a process that will require monitoring and assessment. Senior leaders must take responsibility for implementation.

A successful process will involve ensuring that:

- new risks are properly reported and evaluated;
- risk aspects of significant new projects are considered as part of project appraisals;
- any significant failures of control systems are properly reported and actioned;
- there is an adequate level of understanding of individual responsibilities for both implementation and monitoring of the control systems;
- any further actions required are identified;

- The Board (or LGB) consider and review the annual process;
- The Board (or LGB) are provided with relevant and timely interim reports.
- The relevant requirements of the Academies Financial Handbook are met (see below for detail).

Ongoing monitoring and assessment of the risk register is delegated by the Trust Board to the Audit, Risk and Resources Committee. This Committee, in turn, may delegate some duties to an academy Local Governing Body.

The Academies Financial Handbook includes a number of requirements related to the “Oversight of risk and the risk register”:

*The trust must manage risks to ensure its effective operation and must maintain a risk register:*

- *Overall responsibility for risk management, including ultimate oversight of the risk register, must be retained by the board of trustees, drawing on advice provided to it by the audit and risk committee.*
- *Other committees may also input into the management of risk at the discretion of the board.*
- *Aside from any review by individual committees, the board itself must review the risk register at least annually.*
- *Risks management covers the full operations and activities of the trust, not only financial risks. Find out how the trustees must ensure effective risk-based internal scrutiny.*

*The trust’s management of risks must include contingency and business continuity planning.*